

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispio.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2002

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/171,690   | 10/23/1998     | HELMUT KANZLER       | 4501                   | 8344             |  |
| 7:   | 590 03/26/2002 |                      |                        |                  |  |
| ARLENE J POWERS<br>SAMUELS GAUTHIER STEVENS & REPPERT<br>225 FRANKLIN STREET |                |                      | EXAMINER               |                  |  |
|  |                |                      | VANAMAN, FRANK BENNETT |                  |  |
| SUITE 3300<br>BOSTON, MA 02110   |                |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| ,  |                |                      | 3618                   |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

09/171,690

Applicant(s)

Examiner

**Notice of Abandonment** 

Art Unit 3611

Kanzler et al.

|        |  | Vanaman   | 3611                                 |                                      |  |  |  |
|--------|--|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
|        | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears   | on the cover sheet with the corres              | spondence addr                       | 988                                  |  |  |  |
| This a | pplication is abandoned in view of:  |   |                                      |                                      |  |  |  |
| 1. 🔯   | Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to th  | e Office letter mailed on <u>Apr 23</u>         | 3 <u>, 2001</u> .                    |                                      |  |  |  |
| (a)    | A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of |   |                                      |                                      |  |  |  |
|        | month(s)) which expired on   | ·   |                                      |                                      |  |  |  |
| (b)    | A proposed reply was received on <u>Aug 27, 200</u> 1.113(a) to the final rejection.   |   |                                      |                                      |  |  |  |
|        | (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject<br>the application in condition for allowance; (2) a time<br>Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complia         | ely filed Notice of Appeal (with a              |                                      |                                      |  |  |  |
| (c)    | No response has been received.   |   |                                      |                                      |  |  |  |
| 2. 🗌   | Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue of three months from the mailing date of the Notice   |   | ble, within the                      | statutory period                     |  |  |  |
| (a)    | The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, v<br>Transmission dated  | fter the expiration of the statuto              | _ (with a Certif<br>ry period for pa | icate of Mailing or<br>ayment of the |  |  |  |
| (b)    | ☐ The submitted issue fee of \$ is insufficient  | ent. A balance of \$ is du                      | ıe.                                  |                                      |  |  |  |
|        | The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$  | The publication fee, if require                 | red by 37 CFR                        | 1.18(d) is \$                        |  |  |  |
| (c)    | The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, h  | as not been received.                           |                                      |                                      |  |  |  |
| 3. 🗌   | Applicant's failure to timely file new formal drawing Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).   | gs as required by, and within the               | three-month p                        | eriod set in, the                    |  |  |  |
| (a)    | Proposed new formal drawings were received on Transmission dated), which   | (with a Cell is after the expiration of the per | rtificate of Mai<br>riod for reply.  | ling or                              |  |  |  |
| (b)    | The proposed new formal drawings filed on<br>expired.  | are not acceptab                                | ole and the per                      | iod for reply has                    |  |  |  |
| (c)    | ☐ No proposed new formal drawings have been red  | ceived.   |                                      |                                      |  |  |  |
| 4. 🗌   | The letter of express abandonment which is signed interest, or all of the applicants.  | by the attorney or agent of reco                | rd, the assigne                      | e of the entire                      |  |  |  |
| 5. 🗌   | The letter of express abandonment which is signed under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuir   |   | in a representa                      | tive capacity                        |  |  |  |
| 6. 🗌   | The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and In period for seeking court review of the decision has   |   |                                      | nd because the                       |  |  |  |
| 7. 🗆   | The reason(s) below:   |   | FRANK VA<br>PRIMARY E                | NAMAN<br>XAMINER                     |  |  |  |
|        |  |   | TATISTA                              | 11                                   |  |  |  |
|        |  |   | 3                                    | 121/02                               |  |  |  |